

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

DEPARTMENT OF MINING AND WATER RESOURCES ENGINEERING.

FINAL YEAR PROJECT REPORT

DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF A PORTABLE UNPLANTED DRYING BED FOR FAECAL SLUDGE TREATMENT

(case study: Kyaka II refugee settlement)

BY

KIKABI AGGREY EDWARD

BU/UG/2016/40

Email: akksamaggrey@gmail.com

+256788902004/+256782114562

SUPERVISOR: Mr. IGGA HUZAIRU

A final year project report submitted to the Faculty of Engineering as a partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of a Bachelor of Science degree in Water Resources

Engineering at Busitema University.

ABSTRACT

The most common on-site sanitation systems (OSS) used in Kyaka II refugee settlement are pit latrines including individual and public ones. Currently, there is no disposal facility for treating emptied faecal sludge (FS) from the OSS which poses severe risks to both human health and environment at large.

This research therefore, aimed at designing and constructing an experimental physical model for testing the performance of unplanted drying beds system for treating FS from OSS by characterizing it in order to know its physio-chemical properties and quantifying the FS purposely to know the quantities to be treated overtime for the ultimate design year of 2046. The results from the laboratory analysis of the sludge indicated that the high strength nature of the FS with an average Total Solids concentration of 33.44g/l. This and other field data obtained during the research were used to come up with the design of the beds for treating the settlement's FS in order to reduce its level pollutant concentration before discharge into environment and/or disposal or reuse of the by-product.

The physical experimental model was set up in the field to test the performance of the system upon treatment of the FS generated within the settlement. The environmental impact Analysis (EIA) upon discharge of the leachate was also carried out. In the physical model, the FS was screened with a bar screen structure and allowed sludge to be loaded in batches and the structure monitored for 19 days to collect the leachate for analysis and from the EIA basing on percentage removals, the structure was recommended for the treatment of sludge from the settlement.

ACKNOWLEDGEMNT

With great pleasure, I want to appreciate Mr. Igga Huzairu in collaboration with Mr. Badaza Mohammed for their great and tireless efforts bestowed onto me to ensure quality work. So, my sincere and heart-felt thanks reach out to them first. Indeed, I can't forget to extend my sincere gratitude to my fellow students more so those pursuing BSc. Water Resources Engineering class 2016, for the support and collaboration they have showed me to reach this far even though it has been a rough journey. I really want to thank you from the Bottom of my heart and may the Almighty God bless you abundantly.

DEDICATION

I dedicate this report to all my family members for the love, care and tireless efforts they render to me more so my father Mr. MUGERWA EDWARD and my beloved late mum Madam NANYOMBI MARGRET, my beloved sister NAKANJAKO ANNEMARY and all my other relatives. May the Almighty God bless you abundantly.

DECLARATION

I KIKABI AGGREY EDWARD, declare to the best of my knowledge that this final
year project report is as a result of my research and efforts.
Student's signature
Date

APPROVAL

This report has been submitted to the department of Mining and Water Resources Engineering of Busitema University with approval of the following University supervisors.

SUPERVISOR: Mr. IGGA HUZAIRU
Signature
Date
CO-SUPERVISOR: Mr. BADAZA MOHAMMED
Signature
Date

LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

WHO-World health Organization **PH**-Potential Hydrogen.

UNICEF-United Nations International Children's **TSS**-Total Suspended Solids.

Emergency Fund. **TVS**-Total Volatile Solids.

MGDs- Millennium Development Goals SLR-Sludge Loading Rate.

SDGs -Sustainable Development Goals SSW-Septic Solid waste.

MSW-Municipal Solid Waste. UN-United Nations.

SDC-Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation. **FS**-Faecal Sludge.

UPDB-Unplanted Drying Bed. **PDB-**Planted Drying Bed.

FSTP-Faecal Sludge Treatment Plant. **OSSFs** -Onsite Sanitation Facilities.

UNHRC-United Nations Human Rights Council. **COD**-Chemical Oxygen Demand.

BOD-Biochemical Oxygen Demand. FMS-Faecal Sludge Management.

UNEP-United Nations Environmental Program **TS-**Total Solids.

USEPA-United States Environmental protection Agency. **MoH-**Ministry of Health

NWSC- National water and sewerage corporation.

Contents

ABSTRACT	2
ACKNOWLEDGEMNT	3
DEDICATION	4
DECLARATION	5
APPROVAL	6
LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	7
1.0 CHAPTOR ONE: INTRODUCTION	12
1.1 BACK GROUND	12
1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT	14
1.3 OBJECTIVES	15
1.3.1 MAIN OBJECTIVE	15
1.3.2 Specific objectives	15
1.4 JUSTIFICATION.	15
1.5 SCOPE OF THE PROJECT.	16
2.0 CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	17
2.1 DEFINITION OF FAECAL SLUDGE AND FAECAL SLUDGE MANAGEMENT	17
2.2 SEPTAGE MANAGEMENT	18
2.3 SANITATION AND FAECAL SLUDGE MANAGEMENT CHAIN	18
2.3.1 CHARACTERIZATION OF FAECAL SLUDGE	20
2.3.2 QUANTIFICATION OF FAECAL SLUDGE	22
2.3.3 FAECAL SLUDGE COLLECTION METHOD	22
2.4 METHODS FOR EMPTYING SLUDGE IN COLLECTION CENTRES	23
2.4.1 MECHANICAL EMPTYING	23
2.4.2 MANUAL EMPTYING	23
2.5 FAECAL SLUDGE DRYING METHODS.	24
2.5.1 PLANTED DRYING BEDS	24
2.5.1.1 DISADVANTAGES OF PLANTED DRYING BED	24

2.5.2 DRYING RACKS AND TARPAULINS	24
2.5.3 GREENHOUSE DRYERS.	25
2.5.4 SILO DRYERS	26
2.5.5 STUDENTS' MODELS	27
2.6 UNPLANTED DRYING BED	27
2.6.1 ADVANTAGES OF UNPLANTED DRYING BED	28
2.6.2 DISADVANTAGES OF UNPLANTED DRYING BED	29
2.6.3 THE TREATMENT PRINCIPLE OF UPDB.	29
2.6.4 ORIGIN AND TYPE OF FAECAL SLUDGE	29
2.6.5 THE DESIGN PARAMETERS FOR THE UNPLANTED DRYING BED	30
2.6.6 CLIMATE FACTORS AFFECTING UNPLANTEDDRYING	30
2.6.7 TECHNICAL DETAILS AND CHARACTERISTICS RECOMMENDED FOR FAECAL SLUDGE DEWATERING IN DRYING BED	31
2.6.8 FAECAL SLUDGE LOADING RATE(SLR)	32
2.6.8.1 THE THICKNESS OF THE SLUDGE LAYER	33
2.6.9 NUMBER OF BEDS	33
2.6.9.1 SLUDGE REMOVAL	34
2.6.9.2 THE QUALITY OF THE DRIED SLUDGE AND LEACHATE	35
3.0 CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY AND MATERIALS	36
3.1 AN OVERVIEW OF THE AREA OF STUDY	36
3.2 SPECIFIC OJECTIVE ONE: To Quantify and characterize faecal sludge of the settlement	38
3.2.1 FS SAMPLING	39
3.2.2 DESIGN POPULATION	39
3.2.3 DAILY SLUDGE VOLUME ACCULATION RATE(Q _i)	40
3.3 METHODOLOGY FOR SPECIFIC OBJECTIVE TWO: To design the main system componen	ts40
3.3.1 DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS	40
3.3.2 DESIGN FOR SCREENS	40
3.3.3 VELOCITY THROUGH THE SCREENS	41
3.3.4 THE HEAD LOSS THROUGH SCREENS	41
3.3.5 HEADLOSS THROUGH THE INCLINED SCREEN DESIGN	41
3.3.6 THE GRIT CHAMBER	41

3.3.7 PRELIMINARY TREATMENT	42
3.3.8 DETERMINATION OF THE SETTLING VELOCITY	42
3.3.9 ILLUSTRATION OF THE SYSTEM FLOW	43
3.4 DESIGN FOR UNPLANTED DRYING BED	43
3.4.1 THE DESIGN VOLUME OF THE WOODEN BED	43
3.4.2 VOLUME OF THE RECTANGULAR AND CUBOIDAL STRUCTURES	43
3.4.3 THE FORCE ON THE STUCTURE	44
3.4.4 FLEXUAL STRENGTH OF THE BED	45
3.4.5 NUMBER OF BEDS	45
3.4.6 CONTRIBUTION OF EVAPORATION TO DRYING	45
3.4.7 THE RETENTION TIME OF THE BEDS	46
3.5 SPECIFIC OBJECTIVE THREE: To construct a physical model	46
3.5.1 CONCEPTUAL DRAWING	47
3.5.2 MATERIALS USED	48
3.6 SPECIFIC OBJECTIVE FOUR; To test the performance of the model.	49
3.7 METHODS OF DATA COLLECTION USED.	50
4.0 CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS PRESENTATION, ANALYSIS AND DISCUSION	51
4.1 An over view of Faecal Sludge Treatment	51
4.2 Recommended treatment process	51
4.3 Quantification of the FS	53
4.4 DESIGN OF FAECAL SLUDGE TREATMENT STRUCTURES-SYSTEM PROTOTYPE	57
4.5 FS characterization	57
4.6 LEACHATE RESULTS AND ANALYSIS	62
4.7 PERCENTAGE COMPOSITION OF PARAMETERS	63
4.8 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ANALYSIS OF COLIFORMS IN THE LEACHATE	64
4.8.1 CHECKLIST TECHNIQUE	64
5.0 CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.	65
5.1 CONCLUSIONS	65
5.2 RECOMMENDATIONS.	65
5.3 CHALLENGES ENCOUNTERED	66
6.0 REFERENCES	67

7.0 APPENDICES	69
LIST OF TABLES	
Table 1 Showing some of the reported characteristics of FS from the onsite sanitation facilities (Sour	
Strande et al.,2014)Table 2 Showing Technical details and FS dewatering characteristics in the drying bed. (Source:	Z I
Strande,2012)	21
Table 3 Showing the resolved design criteria of the drying bed	
Table 4 Showing the characteristic parameters and the methods used.	
Table 5 Showing the effluent tests that were carried out and compared to the national standards	
Table 6 showing the available Faecal sludge Characteristics	
Table 8 showing the five-year design population with its quality of sludge accumulated	
Table 9 Showing the raw FS results from the laboratory	
Table 10 Showing the leachate results from the laboratory.	
Table 11 Showing the percentage composition of parameters	
LIST OF FIGURES	00
Figure 1From left to Right shows faecal sludge being loaded from its composting area downslope in	
Kyaka, a recent burial ground of untreated faecal sludge in Kyaka	15
Figure 2 Showing classification of faecal sludge and management systems. (SOURCE: www.open.edu	ıc) 18
Figure 3 Showing the best stages of septage management (SOURCE: Strande,2012)	18
Figure 4 Showing faecal sludge management chain (Source: Harada,2014	19
Figure 5 Showing faecal sludge largescale treatment cycles (Source: Faecal Sludge Management by	
eawa,2002)	20
Figure 6 Showing the planted drying bed (Source: www.armgroup.co.uk)	
Figure 7 Showing the drying of FS pellets in tarpaulins and racks respectively (Source: Turyasiima.,et	
2016)	
Figure 8 Showing a greenhouse dryer at Lubigi-Kampala	
Figure 9 Showing a silo dryer at Lubigi	
Figure 10 Showing a track feeding a ground based unplanted drying bed (SOUCRCE:Strande,2014)	
Figure 11 Showing a partially dewatered faecal sludge on ground based unplanted drying bed at Lub	_
Kampala	
Figure 12 Showing loading of faecal sludge (Sludge bomb, Malawi)	
Figure 13 Showing FS removal mechanically using wheel barrows (Source: Strande,2014)	
Figure 14 Showing the location of Kyaka II refugee settlement	
Figure 15 Showing a sampling tool and the collected raw FS samples respectively.	
Figure 16 Showing the Conceptual drawing of the Portable drying bed designed.	
Figure 17 Showing production drawings and set-up views; From top left to right, the cuboidal part, t	
support/stand, the top and front views, the Prismoidal body and lastly the cross sectional view of the	
up at the bottom end	48